DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

Tailoring Science Instruction (Approaches for Addressing Diverse Learning Styles and Enhancing Academic Performance)

Prof. Abdulqadir Obaidallah Alhomairi
Curricula and Methods of Teaching Science, Collage of Education and Arts, University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia
Email :ahomari@ut.edu.sa
ORCID: 00090005960172

ABSTRACT

Here’s a rephrased version of your paragraph while maintaining the original meaning: This review paper examines the use of differentiated instruction within science education, with a focus on strategies that accommodate various learning styles and enhance academic performance. It begins by exploring the theoretical foundations of differentiated instruction, addressing its definition and its connections to key learning theories. The discussion then moves into the current landscape of science education and the necessity of meeting diverse student needs. A detailed analysis of differentiated instruction strategies in science follows, covering curriculum modifications, content, process, and product differentiation, alongside the use of technology and collaborative learning. Additionally, the paper features case studies and empirical data showcasing the effectiveness of differentiated instruction, offering a comparison of success stories from different educational contexts. Challenges in implementing these strategies are also identified, along with solutions to overcome these obstacles, while emphasizing the vital role of policy and administrative support. The paper concludes by pointing to future research opportunities and reiterating the importance of differentiated instruction in creating inclusive and engaging science classrooms where all students can excel.

Keywords: Tailored Instruction; Science Teaching; Learner Diversity; Pedagogical Strategies; Inclusive Educational Settings.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

Introduction

Differentiated instruction has become an essential pedagogical strategy in contemporary education, particularly within science. This approach is designed to address the diverse needs, preferences, and abilities of students, ultimately improving their academic performance and engagement. As classrooms grow more diverse, the traditional one-size-fits-all teaching model often falls short in meeting the varied demands of learners (Boctolan et al., 2024). Differentiated instruction offers a flexible and adaptive framework that enables educators to modify their teaching methods, content, and assessments to better suit each student's unique learning style (Flordelyn, 2023).

The significance of differentiated instruction in science education is immense. The field of science, with its complex ideas and hands-on learning opportunities, presents unique challenges and possibilities for differentiation. Students enter science classrooms with varying levels of prior knowledge, interests, and ways of processing information (Boctolan et al., 2024). Using targeted instructional strategies to address these differences can greatly improve students' comprehension, retention, and application of scientific concepts. Moreover, differentiated instruction promotes equity and inclusivity while creating a more engaging and stimulating learning environment.

This review paper seeks to examine the various strategies and methods of differentiated instruction in science education, highlighting how these approaches meet diverse learning styles and improve academic outcomes. By exploring current trends, empirical research, and practical applications, this paper aims to give educators a well-rounded understanding of effective differentiation techniques. The goal is to provide actionable insights that can be implemented in classrooms, helping all students reach their full potential in science.

In the following sections, the paper will explore the theoretical basis of differentiated instruction, analyze current challenges and trends in science education, and present a variety of strategies designed specifically for science classrooms. Through case studies and empirical evidence, the effectiveness of these strategies will be illustrated, while discussing potential obstacles and solutions for successful implementation. Finally, future directions and innovations in differentiated science instruction will be considered, offering a guide for educators and policymakers to improve science education for diverse learners.

Theoretical Framework

Definition of Differentiated Instruction

Differentiated instruction is a teaching approach designed to meet the diverse needs, preferences, and abilities of students, standing in contrast to traditional, uniform teaching methods. It focuses on flexibility, adjusting instructional strategies and resources to cater to individual needs, thereby fostering a more inclusive learning environment (Boctolan et al., 2024).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

This approach involves adapting various aspects of instruction, including the content (what students learn), the process (how they learn), the products (how they demonstrate their learning), and the learning environment (the context) (Flordelyn, 2023). By tailoring these components, educators provide multiple avenues for students to engage with material, understand concepts, and showcase their knowledge.

Carol Ann Tomlinson, a leading advocate of differentiated instruction, emphasizes that it is a set of practices rather than a single method. It demands continuous assessment and adaptability from educators, including pre-assessments to evaluate prior knowledge, formative assessments to monitor progress, and summative assessments to measure overall achievement (Hidayati & Eriyanti, 2023).

In application, differentiated instruction may include grouping students based on interests or abilities, offering diverse reading materials, providing options for demonstrating understanding, and using a range of instructional techniques such as direct instruction or collaborative learning. The goal is to create a nurturing environment where all students have the opportunity to succeed and grow.

By recognizing and addressing individual differences, differentiated instruction improves academic outcomes and increases student engagement, motivation, and self-confidence. It moves away from a standardized curriculum, promoting a personalized approach that ensures meaningful and effective learning experiences for every student.

Learning Theories Relevant to Differentiation

Differentiated instruction is underpinned by several key learning theories that emphasize the importance of addressing individual differences in the learning process. These theories provide a theoretical foundation for understanding why and how differentiation can be effective in the classroom.

1. Constructivist Learning Theory

Constructivist learning theory, rooted in Piaget and Vygotsky's work, emphasizes that learners construct knowledge through active interaction with their environment. It views learning as an active process of building understanding rather than passive reception. Differentiated instruction supports this by helping students connect new knowledge with existing frameworks, promoting deeper understanding. Teachers can adjust content and activities based on students' prior knowledge, enhancing meaningful learning (Kutlu, 2021).

2. **Multiple Intelligences Theory** Howard Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences suggests that people have different types of intelligences, such as linguistic, logical-mathematical, spatial, musical, bodily-kinesthetic, interpersonal, intrapersonal, and naturalistic. Differentiated instruction leverages this theory by offering varied learning experiences tailored to these intelligences. For instance, a science teacher might incorporate music, experiments, visual aids, and group activities to engage students' diverse strengths and preferences (Brown & Wentworth, 2021).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024
العدد (109) يوليو 2024

3. **Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD)** Lev Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) concept highlights the gap between what a learner can do independently and with guidance. Differentiated instruction uses this concept by offering scaffolding and targeted support to help students progress through their ZPD. Teachers design activities that challenge students just beyond their current abilities, providing necessary assistance to ensure success and growth, ensuring all students work at an optimal difficulty level (Kutlu, 2021).
4. **Learning Styles Theory** David Kolb's learning styles theory suggests individuals have preferred learning methods, such as visual, auditory, reading/writing, and kinesthetic (VARK model). Differentiated instruction incorporates this theory by presenting information in multiple formats and allowing students to choose their engagement method. For instance, visual learners might benefit from diagrams and videos in a science class, while kinesthetic learners prefer hands-on experiments and physical models (Brown & Wentworth, 2021).
5. **Self-Determination Theory** Developed by Edward Deci and Richard Ryan, Self-Determination Theory (SDT) emphasizes autonomy, competence, and relatedness in fostering intrinsic motivation (Adami, 2004). Differentiated instruction supports SDT by offering choices in learning activities, allowing students to pursue topics of interest, and providing opportunities for success at varying levels of difficulty. By addressing students' needs for autonomy and competence, differentiated instruction enhances motivation and engagement in learning (Variacion et al., 2021).
6. **Bloom's Taxonomy** Bloom's Taxonomy categorizes cognitive skills into hierarchical levels, from lower-order skills like remembering and understanding to higher-order skills like analyzing, evaluating, and creating. Differentiated instruction uses Bloom's Taxonomy to design tasks that target various cognitive levels, ensuring all students are appropriately challenged. Teachers differentiate tasks to match students' skill levels while encouraging progression to more complex thinking.

Integrating these learning theories into differentiated instruction offers a strong framework for inclusive and effective education (Crossland, 2015). Understanding these theories enables educators to use strategies that address diverse student needs, improving academic outcomes and making learning more meaningful.

Diverse Learning Styles

Understanding diverse learning styles is crucial for implementing differentiated instruction effectively. Learning styles refer to the preferred ways in which individuals process information and learn new concepts. Recognizing these styles allows educators to tailor their teaching methods to better meet the needs of each student, thus enhancing their learning experiences and academic outcomes.

1. VARK Model

The VARK model, developed by Neil Fleming, categorizes learning styles into four primary types: Visual, Auditory, Reading/Writing, and Kinesthetic. Each style represents a different way of processing information (Tomić et al., 2023):

- **Visual Learners** prefer to use images, diagrams, and spatial understanding to

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

process information. They benefit from visual aids such as charts, graphs, and videos.

- **Auditory Learners** learn best through listening. They thrive in environments where information is delivered through discussions, lectures, and audio materials.
- **Reading/Writing Learners** prefer to engage with information through written words. They excel when given opportunities to read textbooks, write notes, and engage in written exercises.
- **Kinesthetic Learners** prefer a hands-on approach, learning through experience and physical activity. They benefit from experiments, demonstrations, and role-playing activities (Tomić et al., 2023).

In a science classroom, teachers can address these different learning styles by incorporating a variety of instructional materials and activities. For instance, a lesson on the water cycle could include diagrams and videos for visual learners, lectures and discussions for auditory learners, reading assignments and written reports for reading/writing learners, and experiments or model-building for kinesthetic learners (Prithishkumar & Michael, 2014).

2. Multiple Intelligences

Howard Gardner's Multiple Intelligences theory expands the concept of learning styles by identifying eight distinct intelligences that influence how people learn and interact with the world. These intelligences include:

- **Linguistic Intelligence**: Sensitivity to spoken and written language.
- **Logical-Mathematical Intelligence**: Ability to analyze problems logically and carry out mathematical operations.
- **Spatial Intelligence**: Capacity to think in images and pictures.
- **Musical Intelligence**: Ability to produce and appreciate rhythm, pitch, and melody.
- **Bodily-Kinesthetic Intelligence**: Skill in using one's body to solve problems or create products.
- **Interpersonal Intelligence**: Ability to understand and interact effectively with others.
- **Intrapersonal Intelligence**: Capacity for self-awareness and self-reflection.
- **Naturalistic Intelligence**: Ability to recognize and categorize plants, animals, and other aspects of nature (van Geel et al., 2022).

Incorporating Multiple Intelligences into differentiated instruction involves designing activities that draw on these various intelligences. For example, a science lesson on ecosystems might include:

- Linguistic: Writing reports or creating presentations on specific ecosystems.
- Logical-Mathematical: Analyzing data related to ecosystem health.
- Spatial: Creating models or drawings of ecosystems.
- Musical: Composing songs about the components of ecosystems.
- Bodily-Kinesthetic: Conducting fieldwork or experiments.
- Interpersonal: Collaborating on group projects.
- Intrapersonal: Reflecting on the importance of ecosystems and personal responsibility in conservation.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

- Naturalistic: Identifying and categorizing plants and animals in a local habitat.

3. Learning Style Models

Beyond the VARK model and Multiple Intelligences theory, several other learning style models contribute to differentiated instruction:

- **Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory**: Emphasizes the role of experience in learning and identifies four learning styles based on a cyclical model of learning: Diverging, Assimilating, Converging, and Accommodating.
- **Honey and Mumford's Learning Styles**: Identifies four types of learners: Activists, Reflectors, Theorists, and Pragmatists, each with different preferences for how they engage with learning tasks (Sofiana et al., 2024).

Current Trends in Science Education

Challenges in Science Education

Today's science education landscape faces numerous challenges that significantly impact both teaching and learning. These challenges arise from rapid advancements in scientific knowledge, disparities in available resources, and the increasing diversity of student populations. Tackling these issues is essential to ensure that students succeed in their science studies.

1. **Rapid Advancement of Scientific Knowledge**

Scientific discoveries and technological innovations are evolving at a swift pace, requiring educators to continually update their curricula and teaching materials. This ongoing need for adaptation places pressure on teachers to stay informed, necessitating continuous professional development and access to up-to-date resources, which can be a challenge for many schools and educators (Variacion et al., 2021).

2. **Disparities in Educational Resources**

There are considerable inequities in the distribution of educational resources among schools and regions. Underfunded schools may lack the necessary modern laboratories, updated textbooks, and technological tools that are crucial for delivering quality science education. These disparities limit students' ability to fully engage with and comprehend scientific concepts, putting them at a disadvantage (Dorji et al., 2023).

3. **Diverse Student Backgrounds and Abilities**

Classrooms are becoming more diverse, with students from a variety of cultural, linguistic, and socioeconomic backgrounds. This diversity also includes varying levels of prior knowledge and abilities in science. Designing instruction that accommodates these differences can be challenging, as some students may struggle while others are not adequately challenged without appropriate differentiation strategies (Variacion et al., 2021).

4. **Student Engagement and Interest**

Maintaining student engagement in science is a significant challenge, especially when students perceive the subject as difficult or irrelevant. Traditional teaching methods, such as lectures and rote memorization, can contribute to disengagement. To sustain student interest and foster a lifelong passion for science, educators need to

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

make science more engaging, practical, and relevant to everyday life (Dorji et al., 2023).

5. **Standardized Testing and Curriculum Constraints**

The emphasis on standardized testing can limit teachers' flexibility in delivering comprehensive science education. The pressure to "teach to the test" often leads educators to focus narrowly on tested materials, leaving little room for broader scientific exploration or critical thinking activities. This can diminish opportunities for hands-on, inquiry-based learning, stifling creativity and deeper engagement with scientific concepts (Alsalhi et al., 2021).

6. **Professional Development and Support for Teachers**

High-quality science education depends on well-prepared and supported teachers who are confident in both their subject knowledge and instructional methods. Many educators, however, lack access to sufficient professional development opportunities, particularly in specialized areas of science. Ongoing training is crucial for teachers to stay informed about the latest scientific developments and teaching methodologies (Dorji et al., 2023).

7. **Integration of Technology**

While technology has the potential to significantly enhance science education, integrating it effectively remains a challenge. Teachers often require training and support to use technological tools successfully in the classroom. Additionally, equitable access to digital resources is essential to prevent certain students from being left behind, especially in under-resourced schools (Magableh & Abdullah, 2020).

Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive strategy, including investing in educational resources, offering targeted professional development, and promoting inclusive teaching practices. By confronting these issues head-on, educators can create a more equitable and effective science education system, equipping students with the skills and knowledge needed for the future.

Importance of Addressing Diverse Learning Needs

Meeting the diverse learning needs of students is essential for creating an inclusive and effective science education environment. By recognizing and accommodating these differences, educators can enhance individual student outcomes and cultivate a classroom culture that values and supports every learner's success.

1. **Promoting Equity and Inclusion**

Students enter the classroom with varied backgrounds, abilities, and experiences. Addressing these diverse learning needs ensures that all students receive equal access to quality educational opportunities, fostering equity and inclusion. This approach helps close achievement gaps and ensures that all students, regardless of their background, can thrive (Magableh & Abdullah, 2020).

2. **Enhancing Student Engagement and Motivation**

Tailoring instruction to fit students' diverse needs and interests increases engagement and motivation. Using differentiated instruction, which incorporates various teaching methods, materials, and activities, makes science more relevant and interesting. This

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

encourages students to participate actively and persist in their learning (Magableh & Abdullah, 2020).

3. **Supporting Varied Learning Style**

Different students have different learning styles, such as visual, auditory, kinesthetic, or reading/writing preferences. Recognizing and adapting instruction to accommodate these varied styles helps students grasp scientific concepts more effectively, leading to better comprehension and retention (Hidayat et al., 2023).

4. **Fostering Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving Skills**

Science education is about more than memorization—it involves cultivating critical thinking and problem-solving abilities. By addressing diverse learning needs, educators create opportunities that challenge students to think deeply, ask meaningful questions, and solve complex problems, fostering essential skills for their future (Pablico et al., 2017).

5. **Encouraging Collaborative Learning**

A collaborative learning environment allows students to work together on scientific problems and experiments. When students with diverse abilities and backgrounds collaborate, they learn from each other, developing communication and teamwork skills that are crucial for both academic and life success (Hidayat et al., 2023).

6. **Improving Academic Outcomes**

Differentiated instruction tailored to the specific needs of students leads to improved academic performance. By adapting teaching strategies, content, and assessments, educators enable students to reach their full potential, resulting in better understanding, higher test scores, and overall academic success (Hidayat et al., 2023).

7. **Preparing Students for Future Challenges**

When educators address diverse learning needs in science education, they help students build the skills necessary to succeed in a variety of contexts. A deep understanding of science, along with adaptability, prepares students to tackle future academic and career challenges with confidence (Pablico et al., 2017).

8. **Building a Positive Classroom Environment**

A classroom that values diversity fosters a positive learning atmosphere. When students feel that their unique needs and preferences are respected, they are more likely to participate actively and confidently. This leads to better classroom behavior, stronger teacher-student relationships, and an overall positive learning experience (Hidayat et al., 2023).

By addressing the diverse learning needs of students in science education, educators can create an inclusive, dynamic, and effective learning environment. This not only benefits individual students but also strengthens the overall educational system, ensuring that all learners can succeed in science and beyond.

Strategies for Differentiated Instruction in Science

Curriculum Adaptations

Curriculum adaptations modify the curriculum to accommodate diverse student needs in science education, ensuring equitable learning opportunities.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

1. **Flexible Grouping**: Organizing students based on interests, readiness levels, or learning profiles allows targeted instruction and appropriate challenges (Maeng, 2017).
2. **Tiered Assignments**: Designing assignments with varying difficulty levels ensures all students engage at an appropriate level while addressing the same essential content (Gheyssens et al., 2023).
3. **Learning Centers**: Creating classroom stations for different learning styles and levels allows students to rotate and experience various methods.
4. **Compact Curriculum**: For advanced learners, eliminating redundancy allows advancement to complex topics or enrichment activities (Gheyssens et al., 2023).
5. **Individualized Education Plans (IEPs)**: Developing IEPs ensures the curriculum meets the specific learning needs of students with special requirements (Maeng, 2017).

Content Differentiation

Content differentiation varies what students learn, ensuring effective curriculum access and understanding.

1. **Multiple Learning Resources**: Providing resources at different reading levels and formats caters to diverse preferences (Al-rsa'i & Shugairat, 2019).
2. **Choice Boards**: Offering a selection of activities or projects increases engagement and ownership of learning (Al-rsa'i & Shugairat, 2019).
3. **Concept-Based Teaching**: Focusing on overarching concepts rather than isolated facts encourages deeper understanding and application of knowledge (Maeng & Bell, 2015).
4. **Curriculum Compacting**: For advanced students, compacting content eliminates repetition and allows exploration of more challenging material (Kleinert et al., 2023).
5. **Varied Supplementary Materials**: Using different materials like visual aids and hands-on models helps all students grasp complex concepts (Kleinert et al., 2023).

Process Differentiation

Process differentiation varies activities and methods to ensure all students can actively participate in ways that align with their abilities and preferences.

1. **Differentiated Instructional Strategies**: Using a mix of strategies such as direct instruction, guided inquiry, cooperative learning, and independent study caters to different learning needs.
2. **Flexible Grouping**: Grouping students based on learning needs and tasks supports effective learning and can be adjusted as needed.
3. **Scaffolded Activities**: Providing varying levels of support, such as breaking tasks into smaller steps or using graphic organizers.
4. **Learning Contracts**: Developing contracts with personalized goals promotes autonomy and accountability in learning.
5. **Choice in Learning Activities**: Allowing students to choose from various activities increases engagement and motivation (Obafemi, 2023).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024
العدد (109) يوليو 2024

Product Differentiation

Product differentiation involves varying the ways students demonstrate their understanding and mastery of the content (Tobin & Tippett, 2014).

1. **Multiple Formats for Assessment**: Providing options such as written reports, oral presentations, visual projects, or digital media accommodates different learning styles.
2. **Rubrics and Clear Criteria**: Developing clear rubrics ensures all students understand expectations and can aim for high standards, regardless of the format.
3. **Student Choice in Projects**: Allowing topic or format selection increases engagement and ownership of learning.
4. **Creative Demonstrations of Learning**: Encouraging creative means like art, drama, music, or multimedia presentations, especially for students who struggle with traditional assessments.
5. **Peer and Self-Assessment**: Incorporating opportunities for peer and self-assessment helps develop critical thinking and reflective skills (Stingo, 2024).

Use of Technology

Technology integration in science education offers numerous opportunities for differentiation.

1. **Educational Software and Apps**: These tools provide personalized learning experiences, adapting to students' individual paces and offering interactive content.
2. **Online Simulations and Virtual Labs**: Providing hands-on learning experiences in a virtual environment helps students visualize complex concepts and conduct experiments safely.
3. **Digital Portfolios**: Allowing students to document and reflect on their learning journey through various media.
4. **Interactive Whiteboards and Tablets**: Facilitating interactive and collaborative learning through drawing diagrams, annotating texts, or conducting polls and quizzes.
5. **Online Collaboration Tools**: Supporting group work and communication through platforms like Google Classroom and Microsoft Teams (Kamila et al., 2023).

Collaborative Learning

Collaborative learning strategies foster a cooperative environment, enhancing academic outcomes and developing social and communication skills.

1. **Group Work and Peer Tutoring**:
   - **Group Work**: Allows students to share ideas, discuss concepts, and learn from each other, encouraging diverse perspectives and peer support (Haetami, 2023).
   - **Peer Tutoring**: Benefits both tutor and tutee by reinforcing understanding and providing individualized support.
2. **Cooperative Learning Structures**:
   - **Jigsaw Technique**: Ensures all students contribute and learn from the collaborative process.
   - **Think-Pair-Share**: Encourages active participation and critical thinking by having students think individually, discuss in pairs, and share insights with the group

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024
العدد (109) يوليو 2024

(Haetami, 2023).

Inquiry-Based Learning and Problem-Based Learning
Inquiry-based and problem-based learning strategies engage students in exploring scientific questions and solving real-world problems, fostering critical thinking and independent learning.

1. **Inquiry-Based Learning**:

o **Guided Inquiry**: Teachers provide a question or problem, along with some structure and guidance, but allow students to explore and find answers through experimentation and research. This approach encourages curiosity and scientific thinking (Sapad & Caballes, 2022).

o **Open Inquiry**: Students generate their own questions and design experiments to find answers. This method requires a high level of student autonomy and fosters deep engagement with scientific processes.

2. **Problem-Based Learning (PBL)**:

o **Real-World Problems**: Presenting students with complex, real-world problems to solve encourages them to apply their scientific knowledge in practical contexts. This approach integrates multiple disciplines and promotes the development of problem-solving skills.

o **Collaborative PBL**: Working in teams, students can tackle larger, interdisciplinary problems that require collaboration and diverse skill sets. This mirrors real scientific research and industry practices, preparing students for future challenges (Sapad & Caballes, 2022).

Hands-On Activities
Hands-on activities are essential for engaging students in active learning, making abstract scientific concepts tangible, and fostering a deeper understanding through experiential learning.

1. **Experiments and Lab Activities**:

o **Hands-On Experiments**: Conducting experiments allows students to directly engage with scientific concepts, observe phenomena, and practice scientific methods. Lab activities can be tailored to various difficulty levels to meet diverse learning needs (Anderson, 2007).

o **Virtual Labs**: When physical resources are limited, virtual labs offer an alternative for students to conduct experiments in a simulated environment. These tools can provide interactive and safe ways to explore complex experiments.

2. **Field Trips and Real-World Applications**:

o **Field Trips**: Organizing visits to science museums, research institutions, natural habitats, or industrial sites helps students see the real-world applications of their classroom learning. Field trips provide experiential learning opportunities and can ignite interest in scientific careers.

o **Community Projects**: Involving students in community-based projects or citizen science initiatives allows them to apply their knowledge to real-world problems. These projects can enhance understanding and demonstrate the societal relevance of science (Chamberlin & Powers, 2010).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

By integrating these strategies into science instruction, educators can create a dynamic and inclusive classroom environment that caters to diverse learning needs. These approaches not only improve academic outcomes but also foster a love of science and prepare students for future success.

Case Studies and Evidence of Effectiveness

A thorough review of empirical studies offers valuable insights into the effectiveness of differentiated instruction in science education. By examining research findings across various studies, educators can better understand the impact of differentiated instruction on student outcomes, engagement, and achievement in science.

1. Review of Empirical Studies

Meta-Analyses and Systematic Reviews:
Meta-analyses and systematic reviews synthesize the results of multiple studies to provide strong evidence regarding the effectiveness of differentiated instruction in science. These comprehensive reviews highlight patterns, trends, and gaps in the research, providing a solid foundation for understanding the broader impacts of differentiated instruction and areas that require further investigation (Kótay-Nagy, 2023).

Quantitative Research Studies:
Quantitative studies employ statistical methods to explore the relationship between differentiated instruction practices and student performance. Through experimental or quasi-experimental designs, these studies use tools like pre- and post-tests, control group comparisons, and regression analysis to measure the effect of differentiated strategies on academic outcomes, such as test scores and concept mastery in science education (Sofiana et al., 2024).

Qualitative Research Studies:
Qualitative studies provide insight into the experiences and perspectives of teachers, students, and other stakeholders regarding differentiated instruction. Using interviews, observations, and focus groups, these studies shed light on implementation challenges, teacher practices, and student outcomes, offering a deeper understanding of how differentiated instruction is perceived and applied in science classrooms (Kótay-Nagy, 2023).

Longitudinal Studies:
Longitudinal studies track student progress over time, offering a valuable perspective on the long-term impact of differentiated instruction. By following students over several years, these studies assess the sustained effects of differentiated strategies on academic outcomes, retention of knowledge, and attitudes toward science, providing evidence of the durability of these teaching practices (Sofiana et al., 2024).

2. Success Stories from Different Educational Settings

Real-world examples from diverse educational settings illustrate the practical benefits of differentiated instruction in science education. These success stories highlight how differentiated practices can transform learning experiences for students and educators alike.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

Urban School Districts:
In high-poverty, multicultural urban school districts, differentiated instruction has been instrumental in meeting the varied needs of students. Case studies show how targeted interventions, personalized learning plans, and collaborative support structures help narrow achievement gaps, enabling students to improve their performance and engage more deeply with science education.

Rural Schools and Remote Communities:
Differentiated instruction has also proven effective in rural schools and remote communities, where geographic isolation and resource limitations pose significant challenges. Success stories emphasize how innovative use of technology, community partnerships, and place-based learning experiences enable teachers to create equitable access to science education, fostering engagement and curiosity in scientific exploration.

Special Education and Inclusive Classrooms:
Differentiated instruction plays a critical role in supporting students with diverse learning needs, including those with disabilities, learning differences, and English language learners. Case studies from special education and inclusive classrooms demonstrate how teachers, using Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles, create accessible and supportive environments where all students can succeed in science education, regardless of their individual challenges.

Gifted and Talented Programs:
In gifted and talented programs, differentiated instruction has been shown to enrich the educational experiences of high-achieving students. Success stories from these programs illustrate how differentiated strategies—such as advanced coursework, independent research projects, and enrichment activities—can cultivate creativity, critical thinking, and deep engagement in scientific learning (Suprayogi et al., 2022).

Comparative Analysis of Outcomes

A comparative analysis of outcomes offers critical insights into how differentiated instruction compares with traditional instructional methods in science education. By analyzing various indicators such as student achievement, engagement, and attitudes, educators can assess the effectiveness of differentiated instruction and make informed, evidence-based decisions to improve teaching and learning practices.

1. Quantitative Comparisons

Empirical Evidence:
Quantitative studies that measure the academic performance of students under differentiated instruction versus traditional methods provide concrete data on effectiveness. These comparisons often focus on standardized test scores, course grades, and other academic indicators. Research has shown that students exposed to differentiated instruction tend to perform better in science assessments, showcasing improved understanding of scientific concepts, higher test scores, and enhanced problem-solving abilities.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

2. Qualitative Comparisons

Experiences and Perceptions:

Qualitative research offers insights into the lived experiences of students and teachers in both differentiated and non-differentiated classrooms. Interviews, surveys, and classroom observations provide valuable information on how these approaches influence student engagement, motivation, and perceptions of science. Differentiated instruction often results in more active participation, higher levels of motivation, and more positive attitudes toward learning, as students find lessons more tailored to their individual needs and interests.

3. Longitudinal Comparisons

Sustained Impact on Outcomes:

Longitudinal studies track the academic journey of students in differentiated and non-differentiated classrooms over extended periods. These studies offer a perspective on long-term outcomes such as knowledge retention, graduation rates, and career readiness. Differentiated instruction is often linked to higher retention of knowledge, improved graduation rates, and better preparation for post-secondary education and careers in science-related fields, suggesting its enduring benefits on academic and career pathways.

4. Cross-Cultural Comparisons

Universality and Adaptability:

Cross-cultural studies explore how differentiated instruction performs across various cultural contexts and educational systems. By examining different cultural factors that shape teaching and learning, these studies reveal how differentiated instruction can be adapted to diverse classrooms. Evidence suggests that differentiated instruction can be effectively tailored to suit students from different cultural backgrounds, contributing to its versatility and broad applicability in global education systems (Rosaut et al., 2023).

Challenges and Solutions

Common Obstacles to Implementation

Implementing differentiated instruction in science education may face various challenges that hinder its effectiveness and widespread adoption. Identifying and addressing these obstacles is crucial for ensuring that all students have equitable access to quality science education.

1. **Limited Time and Resources:** Teachers often face constraints in terms of time, materials, and support to implement differentiated instruction effectively. Heavy workload demands and limited access to instructional resources can make it challenging to plan and deliver differentiated lessons that meet the diverse needs of students (Doğan et al., 2013).
2. **Lack of Training and Professional Development:** Many teachers may not have received adequate training or professional development in differentiated instruction strategies. Without the necessary knowledge and skills, educators may struggle to implement differentiated instruction effectively and may revert to traditional teaching methods (Kobi et al., 2023).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

3. **Resistance to Change:** Resistance to change among educators, administrators, and other stakeholders can pose a significant barrier to the adoption of differentiated instruction. Some educators may be skeptical of new approaches or may feel overwhelmed by the prospect of adapting their teaching practices (Doğan et al., 2013).

4. **Assessment and Grading Challenges:** Traditional assessment and grading practices may not align well with differentiated instruction, making it difficult to accurately assess and evaluate student learning. Teachers may struggle to develop fair and meaningful assessments that accurately measure student progress and mastery of content.

Strategies to Overcome Barriers

Addressing the challenges of implementing differentiated instruction requires proactive strategies and support mechanisms to empower educators and promote effective teaching practices.

1. **Professional Development Opportunities:** Providing ongoing professional development opportunities for teachers to learn about differentiated instruction strategies and best practices. Workshops, seminars, peer mentoring, and online courses can help educators develop the knowledge and skills they need to implement differentiated instruction effectively (Mirawati et al., 2022).

2. **Collaborative Planning and Support:** Encouraging collaboration among teachers, instructional coaches, and administrators to share ideas, resources, and support for implementing differentiated instruction. Collaborative planning sessions, professional learning communities, and interdisciplinary teams can facilitate the exchange of strategies and promote a culture of innovation (Kobi et al., 2023).

3. **Flexible Scheduling and Curriculum Design:** Creating flexible scheduling and curriculum design structures that allow for differentiation within the constraints of time and resources. By prioritizing essential learning objectives and providing opportunities for student choice and autonomy, educators can adapt instruction to meet diverse needs more effectively (Mirawati et al., 2022).

4. **Integrated Assessment Practices:** Developing integrated assessment practices that align with differentiated instruction and provide meaningful feedback to students. Formative assessment, self-assessment, peer assessment, and alternative assessment methods can offer multiple ways for students to demonstrate their learning and receive timely feedback (Kobi et al., 2023).

Role of Policy and Administration Support

Effective policy and administration support are crucial for the successful implementation of differentiated instruction in science education. Administrators, policymakers, and educational leaders can enhance the effectiveness of differentiated instruction by providing essential resources, guidance, and leadership in the following areas:

1. **Resource Allocation**

Allocating sufficient resources—such as funding, time, materials, and personnel—is vital for supporting differentiated instruction initiatives. Investing in professional

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024
العدد (109) يوليو 2024

development, instructional technology, and curriculum resources enables educators to implement differentiated strategies more effectively (Morsy, 2015).

2. **Policy Alignment**

Aligning educational policies, standards, and guidelines with the principles of differentiated instruction is essential. Such alignment provides a clear mandate and framework for educators, facilitating the integration of differentiated practices within classrooms and schools (Nyoman et al., 2022).

3. **Administrative Leadership**

Strong leadership from administrators at the school and district levels is key to supporting differentiated instruction. Administrators can establish expectations, offer guidance, and create an environment that fosters innovation and ongoing improvement in teaching and learning practices (Suryati et al., 2023).

4. **Data-Informed Decision-Making**

Utilizing data to guide decision-making and evaluate the effectiveness of differentiated instruction is crucial. Collecting and analyzing data on student outcomes, teaching practices, and school culture helps administrators identify strengths and areas needing improvement (Nyoman et al., 2022).

By addressing common challenges, implementing strategies to overcome barriers, and fostering supportive policies and administrative practices, educators can cultivate an environment where differentiated instruction thrives. Collaborative efforts and a shared commitment to excellence in science education ensure that all students have the opportunity to succeed and excel in their science classes.

Conclusion

Differentiated instruction presents a promising strategy for meeting the diverse learning needs of students in science education and ensuring equitable access to high-quality learning experiences. By acknowledging and addressing individual differences in abilities, interests, and learning styles, educators can foster inclusive classrooms where every student has the opportunity to excel and succeed.

This review has examined various dimensions of differentiated instruction in science, including its theoretical underpinnings, practical strategies, challenges in implementation, and evidence supporting its effectiveness. We have discussed the significance of catering to diverse learning needs, effective classroom strategies for differentiation, and the crucial role of policy and administrative support in facilitating its adoption.

Despite its potential advantages, implementing differentiated instruction may encounter obstacles such as limited time and resources, insufficient training, resistance to change, and difficulties with assessment. Nonetheless, by adopting proactive strategies, collaborating with colleagues, and securing support from administrators and policymakers, educators can address these challenges and foster a more inclusive and effective learning environment.

Looking ahead, there are several areas ripe for further research and exploration in differentiated instruction within science education. Future studies could investigate the long-term effects of differentiated instruction on student outcomes, explore

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

innovative assessment and grading methods, assess the role of technology in supporting differentiation, and identify strategies for scaling differentiated instruction at broader levels, including district and national.

Differentiated instruction offers significant potential for enhancing science education outcomes, boosting student engagement, motivation, and achievement. By embracing differentiated practices, educators can help all students achieve a deeper understanding of scientific concepts, develop critical thinking skills, and become lifelong learners and contributors to the scientific field.

As we pursue excellence and equity in science education, let us commit to nurturing a culture of innovation, collaboration, and inclusivity, ensuring that every student has the chance to succeed and fulfill their potential. Through our collective efforts, we can pave the way for a brighter future in science education and inspire the next generation of scientists, engineers, and innovators.

References

1. Adami, Audrey. (2004). Enhancing students' learning through differentiated approaches to teaching and learning: a Maltese perspective. Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs, 4, 91 - 97. 10.1111/j.1471-3802.2004.00023.x.

2. Al-rsa'i, Mohammed & Shugairat, Mohammed. (2019). Technology Driven Differentiated Instruction in Science Teaching. International Journal of Education, 11, 15. 10.5296/ije.v11i2.14700.

3. Alsalhi, Najeh, Abdelrahman, Rasha, Abdelkader, Atef, Riad, Sheikh, Habboush, Mahmoud & Alqawasmi, Abdellateef. (2021). Impact of Using the Differentiated Instruction (DI) Strategy on Student Achievement in an Intermediate Stage Science Course. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET), 16, 25-45. 10.3991/ijet.v16i11.22303.

4. Anderson, Kelly. (2007). Differentiating instruction to include all students. Preventing School Failure, 51, 49-54.

5. Boctolan, Noly, Phd, Anielyn & Alba Phd, Khrystal Amor. (2024). Enhancing Science Education in the K-12 Program: Exploring the Impact of Differentiated Instruction Strategies. International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM), 12, 3302-3312. 10.18535/ijsrm/v12i04.el01.

6. Brown, Lisa & Wentworth, Rebecca. (2021). Differentiating instruction in the pre-service science education classroom. SHS Web of Conferences, 98, 01007. 10.1051/shsconf/20219801007.

7. Chamberlin, Michelle & Powers, Robert. (2010). The promise of differentiated instruction for enhancing the mathematical understandings of college students. Teaching Mathematics and Its Applications, 29, 113-139. 10.1093/teamat/hrq006.

8. Crossland, John. (2015). Thinking Skills and Bloom's Taxonomy. Association for Science Education, Primary Science, 140, 32-34.

9. Doğan, Selçuk, Altun, Sertel & Yurtseven, Nihal. (2013). Planning Differentiated Science Instruction Using Understanding by Design: The Case of Turkey. Siirt Social Sciences Institute Journal, 1, 1-.

10. Dorji, Ugyen, Tenzin, Sonam, Gyeltshen, Tendrup, Lhamo, Phub, Lhamo,

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024 العدد (109) يوليو 2024

Yangchen, Wangmo, Kezang, Zangmo, Yonten, Wangmo, Tashi & Yeshi, Sonam. (2023). Enhancing Positive Attitude towards Learning STEM Subjects through Differentiated Instruction. Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies, 44, 25-34. 10.9734/ajess/2023/v44i1953.

11. Flordelyn. (2023). Differentiated Instruction for an Enhanced Students' Academic Performance in Science 10 (PHYSICS). International Journal of Multidisciplinary: Applied Business and Education Research, 4, 2831-2846. 10.11594/ijmaber.04.08.21.

12. Gheyssens, Esther, Griful-Freixenet, Júlia & Struyven, Katrien. (2023). Differentiated Instruction as an Approach to Establish Effective Teaching in Inclusive Classrooms. 10.1007/978-3-031-31678-4_30.

13. Haetami, Haetami. (2023). Effect of STEM-Based Differentiated Learning to Improve Students' Critical Thinking Skills: A Meta-Analysis Study. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA, 9, 655-663. 10.29303/jppipa.v9i9.5084.

14. Hatem, Morsy. (2015). The Effect of Differentiated Instruction Approach in Teaching Science on Developing Scientific Concepts and Attitudes Towards Science for the Primary Pupils in Saudi Arabia, 18.

15. Hidayat, Nur, Ruhiyat, Yayat & Anriani, Nurul. (2023). Improving Student Learning Outcomes through Differentiated Learning and Adversity Intelligence. PPSDP International Journal of Education, 2, 352-359. 10.59175/pijed.v2i2.135.

16. Hidayati, Rini & Eriyanti, Fitri. (2023). Differentiated Learning Technology on Science Education to Students Learning Outcomes. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA, 9, 1017-1022. 10.29303/jppipa.v9iSpecialIssue.6479.

17. Kamila, Hanifa, Cahyaningrum, Sari & Sanjaya, Igusti. (2023). Effectiveness of Differentiated Learning Materials Oriented toward Nature of Science to Improve Scientific Literacy Skills. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA, 9, 1968-1973. 10.29303/jppipa.v9i4.2995.

18. Kleinert, Svea, Besa, Kris, Haunhorst, Darius & Wilde, Matthias. (2023). Experimentation and Differentiated Instruction in Biology Lessons. Examining the Effects of Incremental Scaffolds on the Students' Interest.

19. Kobi, Wiwin, Mardin, Herinda & Pratama, M.. (2023). Implementation of Differentiated Learning as an Effort to Increase Teacher Capacity at SMA Negeri 1 Tibawa. Mattawang: Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat, 4, 360-366. 10.35877/454RI.mattawang2179.

20. Kótay-Nagy, Annamária. (2023). Differentiated instruction in the EFL classroom: An interview study on Hungarian primary and secondary school EFL teachers' views and self-reported practices. Journal of Adult Learning, Knowledge and Innovation, 6. 10.1556/2059.2023.00076.

21. Kutlu, Neşe. (2021). The Reflections of Differentiated Science Education For Gifted Students on Prospective Classroom Teachers. Participatory Educational Research, 8, 280-307. 10.17275/per.21.40.8.2.

22. Maeng, Jennifer & Bell, Randy. (2015). Differentiating Science Instruction: Secondary science teachers' practices. International Journal of Science Education, 37. 10.1080/09500693.2015.1064553.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33193/JALHSS.109.2024.1222
ISSN online: 2414 - 3383
ISSN print: 2616- 3810
مجلة الفنون والأدب وعلوم الإنسانيات والاجتماع
Journal of Arts, Literature, Humanities and Social Sciences
www.jalhss.com
Volume (109) July 2024
العدد (109) يوليو 2024

23. Maeng, Jennifer. (2017). Using Technology to Facilitate Differentiated High School Science Instruction. Research in Science Education, 47. 10.1007/s11165-016-9546-6.
24. Magableh, Ibrahim & Abdullah, Amelia. (2020). On the Effectiveness of Differentiated Instruction in the Enhancement of Jordanian Students' Overall Achievement. International Journal of Instruction, 13, 533-548. 10.29333/iji.2020.13237a.
25. Mirawati, I., Suwastini, Ni & Haryanti, Novi & Jayantini, I Gusti Agung Sri Rwa. (2022). Differentiated Instructions: Relevant Studies on Its Implementation. Prasi, 17, 11-21. 10.23887/prasi.v17i1.41867.
26. Nyoman, Satyarini, Nyoman, Padmadewi & Hery, Santosa. (2022). The Implementation of Teaching English Using Differentiated Instruction in Senior High School during Covid-19 Pandemic. Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris undiksha, 10, 46-52. 10.23887/jpbi.v10i1.49840.
27. Obafemi, Kayode. (2023). Improving Academic Achievement of Pupils in Basic Science and Technology: Differentiated Instruction as the Panacea.
28. Pablico, Jane, Diack, Moustapha & Lawson, Albertha. (2017). Differentiated Instruction in the High School Science Classroom: Qualitative and Quantitative Analyses. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 6, 30-54.
29. Prithishkumar, Ivan & Michael, SA. (2014). Understanding your student: Using the VARK model. Journal of Postgraduate Medicine, 60, 183-6. 10.4103/0022-3859.132337.
30. Rosaut, Grethel, Labiaga, Irene, Manguilimotan, Ramil, Padillo, Gengen, Espina, Raymond & Calasang, Veronica & Linox, Dale. (2023). Implementation of Differentiated Instructions in Teaching Kindergarten Learners, 190-201.
31. Sapad, Roni & Caballes, Dennis. (2022). Learning Styles-Based Differentiated Instruction as Tool towards Academic Achievement of Grade Five Pupils in Science and Health V.
32. Shari & Cousins, J. Bradley. (2019). Implementing differentiated instruction: a mixed-methods exploration of teacher beliefs and practices. Teachers and Teaching, 25, 1043-1061. 10.1080/13540602.2019.1699782.
33. Sofiana, Nina, Andriyani, Santi, Shofiyuddin, Muh & Mubarok, Hizbullah. (2019). Developing 21st century skills through science education in Indonesia. Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia, 8, 89-98. 10.15294/jpii.v8i1.12739.
34. Susilawati, Susilawati, & Effendi, Effendi. (2023). Differentiated Learning in Improving Student Learning Outcomes and Learning Activities in PAI Lessons at SMP Negeri 5 Banjarmasin. Southeast Asian Journal of Islamic Education, 5, 171-186. 10.21093/sajie.v5i1.5832.
35. Tome, Dyna. (2021). Enhancing Differentiated Instruction in Science Learning to Accommodate the Diversity of Students' Needs.
36. Tuyen, Nguyen & Chu, Huyen. (2021). An Investigation into the Differentiated Instruction Strategy for Secondary School Students' Science Learning. Journal of Curriculum and Teaching, 10, 34. 10.5430/jct.v10n1p34.